

1. 2019 Slate of Regattas and scoring values

Each year the committee looks at the entire schedule to ensure the regattas offer an adequate number of scored berths to the conference each weekend, equal opportunity to schools in various locations in the conference, and maximum head to head competition amongst member schools.

After this year's discussion, it was noted that due to the compressed nature of the schedule, weekend 3 (Hoyt/Hood) and weekend 4 (Danmark/Moody) created situations of over-lapping "A-level" regattas. Additionally, the committee felt strongly that the scoring value of the Alt-showcase finals was inadequate and didn't represent the level high competition at that event.

The committee recommends keeping the current "A level" scoring table for the Hoyt and the Danmark and introducing a new scoring table as follows for the Hood, Moody and Showcase Alt-finals.

A-level, 18 boats		Hood, Moody, SC - Alt finals		B-level, 18 boats	
Place	Points	Place	Points	Place	Points
1	8.5	1	7.00	1	5.01
2	8	2	6.59	2	4.72
3	7.5	3	6.18	3	4.42
4	7	4	5.76	4	4.13
5	6.5	5	5.35	5	3.83
6	6	6	4.94	6	3.54
7	5.5	7	4.53	7	3.24
8	5	8	4.12	8	2.95
9	4.5	9	3.71	9	2.65
10	4	10	3.29	10	2.36
11	3.5	11	2.88	11	2.06
12	3	12	2.47	12	1.77
13	2.5	13	2.06	13	1.47
14	2	14	1.65	14	1.18
15	1.5	15	1.24	15	0.88
16	1	16	0.82	16	0.59
17	0.5	17	0.41	17	0.29
18	0	18	0.00	18	0.00

There was a void of C-level scoring on weekend 2. It is proposed that there will be two C-level events added to weekend 2: 1) The Tufts Invite; 2) Stu Walker @ Middlebury.

Proposal: Adopt the A, B, C level regattas for 2019 that were on the slate for 2018, with the exception of:

- 1) *Add Tufts invite, Stu Walker, weekend 2, as C-level events*
- 2) *Introduce a scoring table for the Hood, Moody and Showcase Alt-finals as described in the table above.*

2. Women's Performance Ranking System

The committee recommends no change to the Women's Performance Ranking System for 2019. Committee felt top 4 events, plus mandatory Urn worked well. No changes suggested until further data reveals a need for attention.

3. Co-ed Performance Ranking System

The committee felt that the top 4 event, plus mandatory Schell worked well. It incidentally allowed some top end skippers to sail sloops and singlehanded events, while still helping their school at high ranking dinghy events. No changes suggested (except those listed above) until further data reveals a need for attention.

4. Undergraduate performance ranking officer

The committee acknowledges the great efforts of Sameena Shaffeullah (MIT) for her programming work to tally up the performance ranking scores. Sameena is graduating this year, but assures me the code she has provided would be easy for an up and coming computer science student to take on. The role of undergraduate performance ranking officer would entail: ensuring accurate data entry; manipulation of code to incorporate new tweaks to the system; manipulating techscore to automatically populate performance rank values (note: this would be an advanced and time-consuming project).

Respectfully submitted,
Jeffrey Bresnahan, Connecticut College
Amanda Callahan, Roger Williams University
Frank Pizzo, Bowdoin College
Stan Schreyer, Boston University
Mike Kalin, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Chair)