

Submitted by: Mike Kalin (Committee chair -- MIT)  
 Jeff Bresnahan (CC)  
 Amanda Callahan (RWU)  
 Jonathan Farrar (NU)  
 Frank Pizzo (Bow)

#### Executive Summary:

Downgrade "A-" level regattas (Moody, Hood, ACT) from a maximum of 7 points, to a maximum of 6.25 points.

#### Co-ed NEISA PR Synopsis:

The system of top 4 regattas plus Schell created a fair ranking system of NEISA teams for the Fall 2021 season. No major tweaks or changes are recommended for Co-ed. As we do every year, we did receive feedback from coaches, which we thoroughly reviewed and analyzed. The three main areas of concern were:

- 1) Examine the weighting of the "A-" level regattas; specifically ACT vs. ACC
- 2) If Schell/Urn went to a one day/ten race format, guidelines for scoring.
- 3) Does the PR system achieve its goal of conference ranking for spring and fall scheduling purposes?

#### Discussion:

- 1) It is important to consider that the ACT was given an "A-" level of ranking, because of its competitive relation to the Moody and the Hood. We still believe that to be the case; however upon examination of the distribution of NEISA teams that competed at ACCs, we unanimously agreed that the current ACT winner's score of 7 points is too high, as it correlates to nearly 11<sup>th</sup> place at ACC. In both this year's ACC and last year's, three NEISA teams scored worse than 12<sup>th</sup>. This statistic demonstrates the competitiveness of the ACC, and how challenging it is to score better than 12<sup>th</sup>. In the last two years: 2021 saw NEISA earn 15,16 and 17 at ACC; 2022 saw NEISA earn 12, 14 and 17 at ACC. The committee unanimously agreed that a winning score at ACT should be roughly equal 14<sup>th</sup>; we chose 6.25 points for the winner (just besting 6.18 points for 14<sup>th</sup> at ACC). We felt that it is appropriate to link ACT, Moody and Hood as a scoring category of "A-".

		Old "A-" level regatta score		Fall 2023 proposed "A-" level regatta score	
Schell and Showcase Finals		Hood, Moody, ACT		Hood, Moody, ACT	
	Points	Place	Points	Place	Points
1	10.00	1	7.00	1	6.25
2	9.71	2	6.59	2	5.88

3	9.41	3	6.18	3	5.51
4	9.12	4	5.76	4	5.15
5	8.82	5	5.35	5	4.78
6	8.53	6	4.94	6	4.41
7	8.24	7	4.53	7	4.04
8	7.94	8	4.12	8	3.68
9	7.65	9	3.71	9	3.31
10	7.35	10	3.29	10	2.94
11	7.06	11	2.88	11	2.57
12	6.76	12	2.47	12	2.21
13	6.47	13	2.06	13	1.84
14	6.18	14	1.65	14	1.47
15	5.88	15	1.24	15	1.10
16	5.59	16	0.82	16	0.74
17	5.29	17	0.41	17	0.37
18	5.00	18	0.00	18	0.00

- 2) One day Schell/Urn as a performance rank score. The committee didn't reach consensus on a suitable and agreeable change. Among the discussion points: the PR system should place emphasis on regattas near the end of the season (it does). If we switched the score (downgraded Schell/Urn), it would not accomplish this feature. Further, a majority of committee participant believe that quality, one-day events can be an excellent championship event. If special scores are given to regattas that don't get the same number of races than others, then the PR system gets a little bit difficult to manage. If a one day regatta (presumably the Hatch Brown) gets downgraded because it is only one day, then the host would likely shift back to a two day format.
- 3) The PR system works well for determining a scheduling rank. However, the fall discipline of fleet racing doesn't do a great job of sorting schools in the 5-14 range for critical team racing splits.